IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LG Philips LCD Co.,)
Plaintiff,)
v. Tatung Co., Tatung Company of America Inc., and Viewsonic Corp.,) C.A. No. 04-343-JJF) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.)

NOTICE OF SERVICE OF SUBPOENA

To: Richard D. Kirk, Esquire
The Bayard Firm
222 Delaware Avenue #900
Wilmington, DE 19899

Tracy R. Roman, Esquire Raskin Peter Rubin & Simon LLP 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Scott R. Miller, Esquire Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 3150 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Jeffrey B. Bove, Esquire
James Heisman, Esquire
Jaclyn M. Mason, Esquire
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
1007 North Orange Street
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, De 19899

Gaspare J. Bono, Esquire Rel S. Ambrozy, Esquire Lora A. Brzezynski, Esquire Cass W. Christenson, Esquire McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington D.C. 20006

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 27, 2007, Defendants Tatung Company and Tatung Company of America, Inc. issued the attached subpoena for service on Rebecca Goldman Rudich, McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP, 1900 K Street NW, Washington, DC 2006-1108.

Of Counsel:
Christopher Darrow
Mark H. Krietzman
Frank E. Merideth, Jr.
Alan R. Maler
Valerie W. Ho
Greenberg Traurig LLP
2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E
Santa Monica, CA 90404
Telephone: 310-586-7700

Kathryn L. Clune Greenberg Traurig LLP 800 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, DC 20006

Dated: March 1, 2007

Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)

Anne Shea Gaza (#4093)

Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.

One Rodney Square

P.O. Box 551

Wilmington, Delaware 19899

(302) 651-7700

cottrell@rlf.com

gaza@rlf.com

Attorneys for Defendants Tatung Co. and Tatung

Company of America Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 1, 2007 I caused to be served by hand delivery the foregoing document and electronically filed the same with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following:

Richard D. Kirk, Esquire The Bayard Firm 222 Delaware Avenue #900 Wilmington, DE 19899 Jeffrey B. Bove, Esquire
James Heisman, Esquire
Jaclyn M. Mason, Esquire
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP
1007 North Orange Street
P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on March 1, 2007 I caused to be sent the foregoing document to the following non-registered participants in the manner indicated below:

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Gaspare J. Bono, Esquire Rel S. Ambrozy, Esquire Lora A. Brzezynski, Esquire Cass W. Christenson, Esquire McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP 1900 K Street, N.W. Washington D.C. 20006

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Tracy R. Roman, Esquire Raskin Peter Rubin & Simon LLP 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2300 Los Angeles, CA 90067

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Scott R. Miller, Esquire Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 3150 Los Angeles, CA 90071

Anne Shea Gaza (#4093/)

Gaza@rlf.com

Issued by the

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

LG PHILIPS LCD CO., LTD

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

TATUNG CO; TATUNG COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.; and VIEWSONIC CORPORATION

Case Number: 04-343 USDC, District of Delaware

TO: Rebecca Goldman Rudich McKenna Long & Aldridge LLP 1900 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006-1108	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place, date, and testify in the above case.	d time specified below to
PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at in the above case	the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION Greenberg Traurig LLP	DATE AND TIME
800 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20006	March 21, 2007 10:00 am
	DATE AND TIME
PLACE	
YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time	e specified below.
PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall desig directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set formatters on which the person will testify Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6)	nate one or more officers, th, for each person designated, the
ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)	DATE
Attorney for Defendants Tatung Co , and Tatung Co of America	February 27, 2007
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER VALERIE HO, ESQ, GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP, 2450 Colorado Avenue, Suite 400E, Santa 7700	Monica, CA 90404 (310) 586-

American LegalNet, Inc www.FormsWorkflow.com

(See Rufe 45 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Subdivisions (c), (d) and (e), on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number

AO 88 (Rev 12/06) Subpoens in a Civil Case				
PROOF OF SERVICE				
	DATE	PLACE		
SERVED:				
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE		
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE		
	DEC	LARATION OF SERVER		
I declare under penalty of in the Proof of Service is true	perjury under the laws of the	e United States of America that the foregoing information contained		
Executed on	DATE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER		
		ADDRESS OF SERVER		

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS

- (1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee
- (2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.
- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpocan or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpocan written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested if objection is made, the party serving the subpocan shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpocan was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpocan may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling, or sampling commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoent if it
 - (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(ii) of this rule, such a person may in order to antend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden
 - (B) If a subpoena
- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unremined expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or
- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject

to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions

(D) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA

- (1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or an a form or forms that are reasonably
- (C) A person responding to a subpoem need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form
- (D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause considering the limitmons of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery
- (2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- (B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim if the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) CONTEMPT Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoent served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoent issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoent purports to require it nonparty attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

American LegalNet Inc